



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,640	07/10/2003	Ricky Creel	2491.001	3431
7	590 08/01/2005	EXAMINER		
B. Craig Killo	ough	GREEN, BRIAN		
Barnwell Whal	ey Patterson & Helms,			
P.O. Drawer H Charleston, SC 29402-0197			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
		DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/616,640		CREEL, RICKY		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Brian K. Green	3611		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			<del></del>					
before the rilling of all Appear bilet	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Brian K. Green	. 3611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or	and the second s	singted alaims						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected ciaims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		pirane / unonumon						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendr	nent canceling					
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.         The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:     </li> </ul>								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
Brown K. Melin								
		BRIAN K. GRI PRIMARY EXAM						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Young fails to teach a front panel comprising a transparent portion, i.e. a transparent material or transparent panel. The applicant defines in indepent claims 1 and 7 that the front panel includes a transparent portion and defines in claim 19 that the front panel includes an opening. Young shows in figures 1-3 a front panel (14) that includes a transparent portion/opening (16) therein. The applicant fails to define in any of the independent claims that the transparent portion is in the form of a panel. The applicant merely defines a "transparent portion" or "an opening". As broadly defined, the opening taught by Young is considered to be a transparent portion/opening. The applicant argues that the glass taught by Royal would be placed adjacent the picture of Young. The examiner disagrees since the glass taught by Royal would be placed adjacent the front panel of Young in order to protect the device from dust, dirt, and damage.